Submental Anatomical Variations: The Uniqueness of a Common Variation
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: submental region is subject to many variations, predominantly affecting the anterior belly of the digastric muscle. The medical importance of this area led us to compile a database with the reported cases of the anomalous belly of the anterior belly of the digastric muscle as well as report one case.

During a dissection for didactic purposes of the submental region of an adult female formalin-fixed cadaver, a supernumerary belly in the digastric muscle and an abnormal muscle fibres arrangement were observed. No variation was detected in the posterior belly of the digastric muscle or in the intermediate tendon. To gather a database academic search tools such as Pubmed, Web of Science, Scopus and Google scholar using the keywords, accessory belly and abnormalities of digastric muscle, variations in the digastric muscle, variations in the submental region, agenesis and atrophy of digastric muscle.

A total of 114 cases were identified in the literature, 62 bilateral and 39 unilateral anomalies and 13 unknown data. From the 62 reported bilateral cases the symmetry was registered in 52 cases, 24 cases showed a symmetric pattern while 28 were asymmetric. The digastric muscle anterior belly variation is relatively frequent, however, its presentation and number are variable and in general unique. Moreover, this variation can easily be confused with pathological conditions. This article highlights the importance of reporting submental anatomical variations to strengthen epidemiological data so as to avoid misdiagnosis and point out the use of these structures as references for surgical procedures and imaging methods.
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Introduction

The structures of the submental region are key landmarks for several head and neck procedures, thus a knowledge of normal and variant anatomical aspects of this area is crucial. Due to its location, the digastric muscle is considered part of a set of suprhyoid muscles. Alongside the mylohyoid, the digastric muscle plays an important role as the floor of the oral cavity. It is responsible for the elevation of the hyoid bone and for the depressing of the mandible, enabling the opening of the mouth.

With a particular embryological origin, the anterior and posterior bellies of the digastric muscle originate from the first and second pharyngeal arch respectively. Due to its diverse mesenchymal origin, the digastric muscle innervation is shared by both the facial and mylohyoid nerves (branch of the mandibular nerve) for the posterior and anterior bellies correspondingly.

Anatomically, this muscle is divided into two parts, the anterior and posterior bellies on each side, and these are linked through the intermediate tendon. The anterior belly of digastric muscle is attached to the digastric fossa of the mandible and then inclines downwards and backwards superficially to the mylohyoid muscle, while the posterior belly runs upwards and backwards to its attachment on the mastoid notch of the temporal bone. The intermediate tendon is inserted in the hyoid bone through a fibrous sling.

The mylohyoid and the digastric muscle are intimately related. The anterior belly of the digastric muscle and the mylohyoid muscle partially share the innervation (mylohyoid branch of inferior alveolar nerve) and blood supply (submental branches of facial artery) and have similar actions on the hyoid bone and mandible. Moreover, cases of the anterior belly of the digastric muscle fibres merging with mylohyoid fibres are well documented in the literature.

Anatomical variations in the submandibular region are frequent and authors have been studying the submental region for a long time in an attempt to describe, classify and nominate the variations e.g. m. Mento-hyoid (Macalister) and m. Interdigastricus. The literature presents records of the variations in the digastric muscle dating from 1737 and since then several authors have published anatomical studies.
in the submental and submandibular anatomical regions as well as many others who have been publishing their findings as case reports (see the list of authors in Table 1).

The digastric accessory anterior belly (ABDM) is the most common variation in this region and the accessory belly can be divided and/or inserted into different locations of the mandible and hyoid bone. The agenesis and/or atrophy of the anterior belly of the digastric muscle can reach up to 40% in some pathological conditions such as hemifacial microsomia. On the other hand, in a non-syndromic population the agenesis of the ABDM is considered a rare phenomenon.

Anatomical variations in the submental region may be misinterpreted as pathological conditions. Therefore a solid understanding of the anatomy and recognition of these variations in the analysis of imaging exams such as Computed tomography (CT) and Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and in surgical procedures is required to avoid misdiagnosis, imprecision in surgical procedures as well as in emergency interventions.

**Case Report**

During a routine anatomical dissection of the suprathyroid region of a 42 year-old female formalin-fixed cadaver in the Anatomy Laboratory at Federal University of Bahia, we discovered an accessory belly of the anterior portion of the digastric muscle.

The mandibular insertion of accessory belly was positioned medially to the anterior belly of the right digastric muscle in the right digastric fossa of mandible with an oblique trajectory to the left side, attached into the hyoid bone close to the medial border of the ABDM on the left side (see Fig 1).

Figure 1 - In a closer investigation, we noticed irregular muscle fibres from the hyoid end of right ABDM and the accessory belly merging with the fibres of the mylohyoid muscle at the topography of its median raphe (Fig 2).
Figure 2 - Despite these abnormal merging fibres, the anterior and posterior bellies showed a normal insertion and trajectory on both sides of the neck. The length (lateral and medial margins) and width of the anterior bellies were measured with a manual calliper. The measurements obtained were approximately as follows: right belly 26mm, 35mm and 15mm; left belly 28mm, 38mm and 14mm, respectively; and accessory belly right border 30mm, left border 35mm and 8mm width.

We observed no other variation or pathological process on the suprahypoid or infrahyoid region of this individual.

The case study was performed according to the rules of the Brazilian Ethics Committee and the Ethical regulation for using cadavers for research purpose of the Federal University of Bahia.

Results

A total of 114 cases were identified in the literature, 62 bilateral and 39 unilateral anomalies and 13 unknown data. From the 62 reported bilateral cases the symmetry was registered in 52 cases, 24 cases showed a symmetric pattern while 28 were asymmetric.

From 39 reports of unilateral variation, 14 cases the extra belly was found on the right side, 10 on the left side and 7 located in the middle or crossing the midline. There were 8 cases without side information of unilateral variation (see table 01).

Discussion

The accessory belly of ABDM is considered the most common variations of the submandibular region, the supernumerary muscle can be attached in different structures and muscle of the submental region, such as the digastric muscle, digastric intermediate tendon, hyoid bone, mandible, mylohyoid raphe or even the mylohyoid muscle. Likewise, the digastric muscle variations are more likely to occur in the submental triangle.
Table 1. Variation on the anterior belly of digastric muscle reported in the literature.

### Unilateral variation – Unique belly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case N</th>
<th>Side and symmetry</th>
<th>Atypical bellies</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Case N</th>
<th>Side and symmetry</th>
<th>Atypical bellies</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>U (C)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Larsson and Lufkin&lt;sup&gt;24&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>U (R)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Sargon and Çelik&lt;sup&gt;44&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>U (M)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Guelfgu et al&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>U (C)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Sakamoto and Akita&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>U (C)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Sakamoto and Akita&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>U (R)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Liquidato et al&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>U (R)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Liquidato et al&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>U(L)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Bakirci et al&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>U (R to L)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Karunakaran et al&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>U (C)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Bonala et al&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>U (R)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td><img src="image11" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Heyduk et al&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>U (R)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td><img src="image12" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Heyduk et al&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>U (R)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td><img src="image13" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Accioly Lins et al&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>U (R)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td><img src="image14" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Fernandes and Almeida Prado (2019)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Unilateral variation – Multiple bellies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case N</th>
<th>Side and symmetry</th>
<th>Atypical bellies</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Case N</th>
<th>Side and symmetry</th>
<th>Atypical bellies</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>U (R)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td><img src="image15" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Çelik et al&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>U (L)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td><img src="image16" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Çelik et al&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>U (R)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td><img src="image17" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Sakamoto and Akita&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>U (L)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td><img src="image18" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Ozgur et al&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>U (M and L)*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td><img src="image19" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Mangala giri and Razvi&lt;sup&gt;29&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>U (L)*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td><img src="image20" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Mangalagiri and Razvi&lt;sup&gt;29&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case N</td>
<td>Side and symmetry</td>
<td>Atypical bellies</td>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Morphology</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Case N</td>
<td>Side and symmetry</td>
<td>Atypical bellies</td>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Morphology</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td></td>
<td>Norton</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td></td>
<td>Holibkovà and Machálek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sargon</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td></td>
<td>Uzun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yüksel and Yüksel</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>4*</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td></td>
<td>Aktekin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sakamoto and Akita</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sakamoto and Akita</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sakamoto and Akita</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Liquidat et al</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bakirci</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ozgur et al</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ozgur et al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mangala giri and Razvi</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td></td>
<td>Singh et al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mascaro et al</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td></td>
<td>Thakur et al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rani et al</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td></td>
<td>Buffoli et al</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Bilateral asymmetric variation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case N</th>
<th>Side and symmetry</th>
<th>Atypical bellies</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Case N</th>
<th>Side and symmetry</th>
<th>Atypical bellies</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td></td>
<td>Heo et al\textsuperscript{22}</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>BS*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Zdilla et al\textsuperscript{31}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>BS*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Zdilla et al\textsuperscript{31}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The frequency of the anatomical variations of the digastric muscle reported in the literature is variable (2.7 to 69.6%). Considering that this is a common and highly variable anomaly, authors have proposed different ways to classify the variations of the digastric muscle in groups or individually e.g. m. Mento-hyoid, m. Interdigastricus or the “arrowhead” type, recently proposed by Zdilla and colleagues.

Yamada classified the accessory belly of the ABDM, based on its origin and insertion as: atavistic; origin; insertion; mixed; complex and defect types. Using Yamada’s classification for digastric muscle variations, Fujimura and colleagues suggest that authors should also consider the area of insertion of the variation.

On the other hand, De-Ary-Pires and colleagues classified variations of digastric muscle into 10 different patterns (A-J), a result of the combinations of position and number of accessory anterior and posterior belly as well as the morphology of intermediate tendon. The absent digastric bellies were classified as type K or L, and in these cases, De-Ary-Pires and colleagues suggest that the muscle should be defined as monogastric muscle.

Depending on the trajectory of the muscle fibres, Ozgur and colleagues classified abnormal fibres according to transposition of the median line. In the crossover type, the fibres cross the midline and on the digastric fossa type, they do not cross the midline.

In the development of this study, a database with the reported cases of anomalous belly of the ABDM was compiled. From a total of 114 cases, 62 were bilateral and 39 unilateral anomalies and 13 unknown data. Several authors report this variation as usually unilateral, while Liquidato et al. and Mangalagiri and Razvi consider an equal presence of either unilateral or bilateral accessory belly. Our database revealed more bilateral (62%) variation of the accessory belly ABDM.

The biomechanical importance on the floor of the oral cavity and temporomandibular joint, makes the asymmetric variation on the ABDM clinically important. From 32 registered cases, 24 showed a symmetric pattern while 28 were asymmetric. Several authors also mention the symmetrical variations in ABDM which are uncommon in adults and extremely rare in human foetuses.

From 39 reports of unilateral variation, 14 cases of variant belly was found on the right side, 10 on the left side and 7 located in the middle or crossing sides. There were 8 cases without side information of
unilateral variation. The variation presented in this report is unilateral, located mainly on the right side showing crossover pattern. Similar cases have already been described in the literature. 28,13,14,27,36

The comparative and evolutionary morphological aspects of the digastric and other supra-hyoid muscles is discussed in the anatomical treatise Quain’s elements of anatomy (Bryce 1923), surprisingly almost 100 years after Zdilla et al.18 retrieved the discussion on the evolutionary and comparative aspects of the digastric and mylohyoid muscles. They wisely suggest a new terminology “Arrowhead” for one type of anterior digastric belly variation, considering that the “arrowhead type” is analogous to mylohyoid morphology.

According to Sharpey et al.,4 Standring,1 Lee and Yang3, the mylohyoid and the anterior belly of the digastic muscles usually exchange some fibres, also found in the present case, which can result in complete fusion between them forming an accessory muscle.10,25

The rarity of the agenesis and/or atrophy of the anterior belly of the digastic muscle in a non-syndromic population is reported by Gibson et al.21 These authors report an 0.2%, incidence while De-Ary-Pires et al.10 reported a 1.3%, incidence, and concerning the laterality, these authors picture it as an unilateral phenomenon. This was corroborated by Ochoa-Escudero and Juliano37 and contradicted by Kalniev et al.,16 reporting a bilateral agenesis of the ABDM.

Furthermore this variation was reported in both sexes. Unilateral variations, such as the one described in this case, have greater clinical significance as they cause an asymmetry of the submandibular region, which may cause discrepancies in the movement of the mandible or lack of strength of the floor of the mouth.38,39,20,40 These variations are also related to dysymmetrical mandibular activity of the temporomandibular joint, headaches and chew disorders.33

It is worth pointing out that these types of variations may be confused with pathologies of the submandibular region, such as lymph node tumours, thyroglossal duct cysts or neoplasms in clinical and imaging examinations. They can also be an issue during surgical procedures in this region of the neck, given that these muscles act as reference points for imaging examinations. They can also be an issue during surgical procedures in this region of the neck, given that these muscles act as reference points for imaging examinations.

Conclusions

The digastric muscle anterior belly variation is relatively frequent, however, its presentation and number are variable and in general unique. The knowledge of these anatomical variations is crucial, since they can easily be confused with pathological conditions.
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