Appreciation of Incisive Foramen in Intraoral Periapical Radiographs - A Comparative Radiographic Study
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: the incisive foramen is said to be a normal anatomical landmark in intraoral periapical (IOPA) radiographs. The present study was performed to appreciate the visibility of the incisive foramen in routine periapical radiographs and to compare its visibility in bisecting angle and paralleling angle techniques.

Methods and Methods: the current study evaluated 120 IOPA radiographs of maxillary central incisors. These was scored for visibility of incisive foramen by a radiographic expert.

Results: the study comprised of 60 bisecting and 60 paralleling radiographs of maxillary incisor teeth. Out of 60 bisecting radiographs, the incisive foramen was visible in only 24 radiographs, and there was no visibility of incisive foramen in 36 bisecting radiographs. However, in the 60 paralleling radiographs, the incisive foramen was visible in 46 radiographs and not visible in only 14 radiographs. The total percentage of visibility of the incisive foramen in the bisecting and paralleling radiographs was 40% and 76.7%, respectively. It is important to take into account the relationship between the structures the aortic root encompasses. Changes in the size of one structure cause the improper functioning of the adjacent ones.

Conclusion: the incisive foramen, although considered to be a normal anatomical landmark seen in IOPA radiographs, is often not visible. Its exact location, morphology, and dimension are important for any surgical procedure in that site, especially for the placement of implants. The nasopalatine canal cyst, which is usually asymptomatic, is often a missed finding on radiographic examinations due to its similarity in appearance to the foramen. The understanding of visibility of the incisive foramen in routine intraoral radiographs is very important to the clinician. Our study shows that the paralleling technique offers better visibility when compared with the bisecting angle technique. Hence, the paralleling technique should be favored to visualize the foramen.
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dimension is important for any surgical procedure in that site, especially placement of implants. The nasopalatine canal cyst, usually asymptomatic are often missed in radiographic finding due to its appearance similar to foramen.

The greater variability of its radiographic image is primarily the result of the differing angles at which the X-ray beam is directed for the maxillary central incisors.

Thus, this study is aimed to appreciate the visibility of the incisive foramen in routine periapical radiographs and to compare its visibility in bisecting angle and paralleling angle techniques.

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted in the Department of Oral Medicine & Radiology, Yenepoya Dental College & Hospital, Yenepoya University, Mangalore. After obtaining ethical clearance from the institutions ethical committee, 120 IOPA radiographs of maxillary central incisors, taken using digital radiography, were collected from the department database. Any faulty radiographs, radiographs with pathology of central incisors and of individuals with developmental anomalies, such as cleft palate, were excluded.

The incisive foramina were analyzed by a radiographic expert in the department.

Results

Table 1–Shows the comparison between the visibility and non-visibility of the incisive foramen in bisecting and paralleling IOPA radiographs of maxillary incisors. The study comprised of 60 bisecting and 60 paralleling radiographs of maxillary incisor teeth. Out of 60 bisecting radiographs, the incisive foramen was visible in only 24 radiographs, and there was no visibility of the incisive foramen in 36 bisecting radiographs. However, in the 60 paralleling radiographs, the incisive foramen was visible in 46 radiographs and not visible in only 14 radiographs. The total percentage of visibility of the incisive foramen in the bisecting and paralleling radiographs was 40% and 76.7%, respectively.

Table 2–The Chi-squared test shows that there is a statistically significant difference between the two techniques with the p-value of 0.000.

<p>| Table 1. Comparison between the visibility of the incisive foramen in bisecting and paralleling techniques |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bisecting technique</th>
<th>Paralleling technique</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not visible</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visible</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Imaging is an integral part of the diagnostic process in clinical dentistry. Radiographs are often obtained as part of a complete examination. Appropriate radiographic interpretation, along with clinical information and other tests, is used to formulate a differential diagnosis. Knowledge of normal radiographic anatomy is paramount to distinguish normal anatomy from variations of normal anatomy and pathologies.

The incisive foramen (also known as nasopalatine foramen or anterior palatine foramen) is the oral opening of the nasopalatine canal. It is located in the maxilla in the incisive fossa, midline in the palate posterior to the central incisors, at the junction of the medial palatine and incisive sutures. Radiographically, the incisive foramina appear as ovoid radiolucencies between the roots of the central incisors. The incisive foramen is said to be a normal anatomical landmark seen in IOPA radiographs. The visibility depends on its size, shape, location, and superimposition. This may be the result of the projection geometry and the variability in anatomic morphology.

The present study was done to evaluate the visibility of the incisive foramen in IOPA radiographs. A total of 120 radio-graphs of the maxillary anterior region, 60 bisecting radio-graphs and 60 paralleling radiographs, was used for the study.

The incisive foramen was visible around 76.7% of paralleling radiographs, while it was visible in only 40% of bisecting radiographs. The incisive foramen is actually located in the anterior part of the palate, but superimposition makes it appear to be located between the roots of the central incisors. The incisive canal connects the roof of the oral cavity with the floor of nasal cavity and has the incisive and nasal foramina respectively at its two opposite ends. Its close proximity with the anterior incisors affects one’s ability to place immediate implants in ideal position.

The nasopalatine canal cyst, which is normally asymptomatic, constitutes casual radiological findings. Radiographic interpretation should be thoroughly performed for maxillary anterior region and any occlusal radiograph in routine radiography to screen this entity and must be distinguished from the incisive foramen. A foramen larger than 6 mm may simulate the appearance of a cyst.
Variations in the vertical angulations of X-rays and in the anatomic size of the incisive foramen results in the radiographic position of the nasopalatine foramen from just above the top of the alveolar crest at the level of the apices of the teeth. In some cases, the shadow of the foramen may be superimposed on the apex of a central incisor, a situation that requires attention to differentiate it from periapical diseases. This is done by taking another radiograph at a different horizontal angulation or by testing pulp vitality.

The great variability in radiographic imaging is primarily the result of the different angles at which the X-ray beam is directed at the maxillary central incisors. Although it is said to be a normal anatomical landmark that can be seen in most of the radiographs, the present study reveals that the incisive foramen is not visible in all routine radiographs that were taken in the south Indian population.

A similar study was conducted by Basappa S. et al in 200 orthopantomographs. This study concluded that the incisive foramen showed good visibility in only 25% of the orthopan-tomographs. The literature does not provide similar studies in periapical radiographs; hence, it cannot be generalized.

Conclusions
The incisive foramen, although considered to be a normal anatomical landmark seen in IOPA radiographs, is often not visible. The morphology and the dimensions of the incisive foramen show variations. Its exact location, morphology, and dimension are important for any surgical procedure in that site, especially for the placement of implants. The nasopalatine canal cyst, which is usually asymptomatic, is often a missed finding in radiographic examinations due to its similarity in appearance to the foramen. The understanding of the visibility of the incisive foramen in routine intraoral radiographs is very important to the clinician. Our study shows that the paralleling technique offers better visibility when compared with the bisecting angle technique. Hence, the paralleling technique should be favored to visualize the foramen.
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